	Jesse Markham (CA State Bar No. 087788)	
	Adam Brezine (CA State Bar No. 220852)	
	HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP	
	560 Mission St.	
	25 th Floor	
	San Francisco, CA 94105	
	Tel: (415) 268-2000	
	Fax: (415) 268-1999	
	Jesse.Markham@hro.com	
	Adam.Brezine@hro.com	
	Yang Chen	
	CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP	
	450 Lexington Avenue	
	New York, NY 10017	
	Tel: (212) 350-2700	
	Fax: (212) 350-2701	
	ychen@constantinecannon.com	
	Attorneys for All Nippon Airways Co. Ltd.	
	Additional Counsel on Signature Page	
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
	DONALD WORTMAN, WILLIAM	Case No. 07-cv-05634-CRB
l	ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA, individually and on behalf of all others	STIPULATION PURSUANT TO
	similarly situated,	LOCAL RULE 6-1 EXTENDING TIME
	,	FOR DEFENDANT ALL NIPPON
	Plaintiffs,	AIRWAYS CO, LTD. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT & AGREEMENT OF
	VS.	DEFENSE COUNSEL TO ACCEPT
	ATD NIEWY ZEAT AND ATT NIEDDAN	SERVICE OF COMPLAINT
	AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC	
	AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA	The Honorable Charles R. Breyer
	AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES	Complaint filed November 6, 2007
	INTERNATIONAL, MALAYSIA AIRLINES, NORTHWEST AIRLINES,	
	QANTAS AIRWAYS, SINGAPORE	
	AIRLINES, THAI AIRWAYS,	
	Defendants.	

STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT Case No. 07-cv-05634-CRB

Case 3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document 71 Filed 02/26/2008 Page 1 of 4

2
3

1

5

6

4

8

9

10 11

13

12

15

14

16 17

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28 WHEREAS the undersigned plaintiffs have filed the above-captioned case;

WHEREAS multiple complaints have been filed to date in federal district courts throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bring class actions on behalf of purchasers of passenger air transportation services containing transpacific flight segments (collectively "the Transpacific Air Passenger cases");

WHEREAS on February 19, 2008, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation entered an order to transfer various Transpacific Air Passenger cases to this jurisdiction for coordinated and consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1407;

WHEREAS plaintiffs anticipate the possibility of filing a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Transpacific Air Passenger cases;

WHEREAS plaintiffs and All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd. have agreed that an orderly schedule for any response to the pleadings in the Transpacific Air Passenger cases would be more efficient for the parties and for the Court;

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a), PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANT ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS CO., LTD., BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

- The deadline for All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd. to answer, move, or 1. otherwise respond to plaintiffs' Complaint shall be extended until forty-five (45) days after the filing of a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Transpacific Air Passenger cases, or, forty-five (45) days after filing and service of notice that no Consolidated Amended Complaint will be filed in the Transpacific Air Passenger cases, or such other times as the parties may jointly agree to in writing.
- 2. This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd., of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, lack of subject matter jurisdiction, or improper venue.
- 3. For purposes of proceedings in the United States of America, counsel for All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd. shall accept service on behalf of All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd. of

the summons and complaint in the above-captioned matter, including any amended or
consolidated complaint, and further, that All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd. shall not contest
sufficiency of process or service of process in any United States court. Nothing in this
paragraph shall obligate All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd. to answer, move, or otherwise
respond to any complaint until the time provided in paragraph 1. Additionally, Defendant
does not waive any defense under the laws of any foreign nation, or any grounds for
challenge to the enforceability of any potential future judgment outside of the United of
America, including specifically in Japan, except insofar as such challenges or defenses are
based on the sufficiency of process or service of process in this case or the consolidated
Transpacific Air Passenger cases.

11

12

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

13

14

DATED: February 22, 2008

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

By:

Jesse Markham (#087788)

Adam Brezine (#220852)

HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP

560 Mission St.

25th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: Facsimile:

(415) 268-2000 (415) 268-1999

Jesse.Markham@hro.com

Adam.Brezine@hro.com

Yang Chen

CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP

450 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10017

Telephone: (212) 350-2700

Facsimile: (212) 350-2701

ychen@constantinecannon.com

Attorneys for Defendant All Nippon Airways Co., Ltd.

28

	Case 3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document 71 Filed 02/26/2008 Page 4 of 4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DATED: February 22, 2008 By: /s/Neil Swartzberg Joseph W. Cotchett (#36324) Steven N. Williams (#175489) Nanci E. Nishimura (#152621) Neil Swartzberg (#215133) Aron K. Liang (#228936) COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577 jcotchett@cpmlegal.com swilliams@cpmlegal.com nnishimura@cpmlegal.com nnswartzberg@cpmlegal.com aliang@cpmlegal.com
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Wortman, Adams, and Garcia and the Proposed Class
13 14	
15	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN FILING Pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, section 45 X(B), I, Adam Brezine, hereby
16	attest that concurrence in the filing of this stipulation and proposed order has been obtained from
17	Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class who has provided the conformed signature above.
18	Counsel for Frankling and the Freposed Class Wife has provided the Constitution and the Const
19	HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP
20	By: John Bert
21	Adam Brezine
22	Attorneys f or Defendant All Nippon
23	Airways Co., Ltd.
24	ELATES DIOTRICE
25	D. I. F.I. 26 2000
26	Dated: February 26, 2008
27	
28	Judge Charles R. Breyer
	STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANT OND TO COMPLAINT Case No. 07-cv-05634-CRD
	- DISTRICT OF